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Abstract

This report (Volume 2) is part of a series of reports that focus on the use of two recreational venues associated with Thunder Bay National Marine Sanctuary (TBNMS). Data were collected from June 2018 to October 2018. Respondents were intercepted at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center, the sanctuary’s visitor center, and Alpena Shipwreck Tours, a glass-bottom boat that operates in the sanctuary. Users were both residents of the region (defined as Presque Isle, Alcona and Alpena counties) as well as visitors to the region. The users were intercepted at both locations and asked to participate in an on-site screener survey that recruited them into a longer survey. The longer survey focused on the importance and satisfaction of various characteristics related to their experience, their expenditures, and the activities they did while in the region. In total, 992 people were intercepted, and 90% of them agreed to take the survey in the on-site screener survey. The response rate for the longer survey of those who completed the screener was 34.8%. This report, Volume 2, gives an overview of users’ importance-satisfaction ratings for natural resource attributes and facilities associated with TBNMS, the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center, and Alpena Shipwreck Tours. Volume 1 addresses the visitors’ economic contribution to the region resulting from their expenditures. Volume 3 presents a socioeconomic profile of those recreating at TBNMS, including demographic profiles (e.g., age, gender, race-ethnicity, household size, household type), use, top recreation activities/points of interest, and person-days. Volume 4 is a technical appendix that explains the survey sampling methodology and the methods of estimation for volumes 1-3.
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Key Findings

Importance/Satisfaction

- Respondents were asked about the various attributes of their experience in the region, at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center, and on Alpena Shipwreck Tours. They rated how important and satisfied they were with 26 different items.

- *Entire sample:*
  - The items with the highest level of importance were preservation of lighthouses and welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours.
  - The items with the highest level of satisfaction included: welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours, welcoming and friendly staff at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center, and the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center was engaging to the children in my group.

- *Visitors’ ratings:*
  - Preservation of lighthouses was most important.
  - Respondents were most satisfied with the level at which the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center was engaging to their children.

- *Residents’ ratings:*
  - Welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours was most important.
  - Respondents were most satisfied with the ability to see shipwrecks while paddling.
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Background

In 2018, the National Marine Sanctuary Foundation conducted pilot surveys at two venues associated with Thunder Bay National Marine Sanctuary (TBNMS): the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center, the sanctuary’s visitor center, and Alpena Shipwreck Tours, a glass-bottom boat that operates in the sanctuary. The survey took place from June 2018 to October 2018. Respondents were intercepted at both venues and asked to complete a short screener survey, then recruited to complete a longer survey about the importance and satisfaction of various aspects of their experience, their expenditures, and the activities they participated in while in the region. Although the survey does not sample all users of TBNMS, this report does provide a representative snapshot of the larger user population, both residents of the region (Alpena, Presque, and Alcona counties) and visitors to the area.

As discussed more below, when screener respondents indicated they were willing to complete a longer survey, they were either given a mail survey to mail back or a postcard with an online link to SurveyMonkey to complete the survey at a later time. Findings from the longer survey include information about demographics, number of days spent in the region, importance/satisfaction, attitudes and perceptions, and expenditures of respondents.

Survey Methodology

The survey methodology is presented in the technical appendix to this report (Schwarzmann et al., 2019) but is outlined again here. The survey was carried out in a two-tiered approach: an on-site screener survey followed by a longer mailback or online survey.

Respondents from both Alpena Shipwreck Tours and the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center were approached and asked to complete the on-site screener (a short survey based upon whether the respondent was a visitor to the region or a resident of the region). The screener survey can be found in Appendix A of the technical appendix to this report (Schwarzmann et al., 2019). If the respondent completed the screener survey, they were then asked to complete a longer version of the survey using either a paper version they would mail back or online via SurveyMonkey.

Findings from the longer survey include information about demographics, number of days spent in the region, attitudes and perceptions, and expenditures of respondents.
The response rate for the on-site short screener was roughly 90% (992 people intercepted). Roughly 35% of the 891 respondents who completed the screener and opted into the mail survey completed the longer survey. Of those who completed the longer survey, roughly 80% of respondents did so online.

Additionally, the data were tested for non-response bias, but that analysis revealed there was no non-response bias for the variables tested. For a more detailed explanation of the non-response bias tests and spatial weighting, see Schwarzmann et al. (2019).

**Data Collected**

**Screener Survey**
- User activities (e.g., whether first trip to the region)
- User satisfaction
  - Whether respondent would recommend the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center and Alpena Shipwreck Tours
  - Whether likely to visit Alpena Shipwreck Tours or the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center again
  - Whether likely to learn more about TBNMS
  - Whether likely to go diving/snorkeling/paddling
  - Level of overall satisfaction with Alpena Shipwreck Tours and the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center
- Characteristics of individual
  - Age
  - Gender
  - Group size
  - Transportation mode(s)
  - Sources of information used to learn about TBNMS prior to trip
- Importance of Alpena Shipwreck Tours and the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center
  - Influence that either Alpena Shipwreck Tours and/or the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center had on their travel plans
  - Agreement that the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center is an important cultural attraction
- Open-ended questions
  - How to improve Alpena Shipwreck Tours and the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center
  - The most memorable feature at Alpena Shipwreck Tours and the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center

**Mailback/Online Survey**
- Uses
  - Person-days in Michigan and Alpena
  - Person-days of activity
Locations visited

- Expenditures by category of expenditure
  - Per household group per trip (last trip)
  - Per person-trip (last trip)
  - Per person-day (last trip and annual average)
  - Total annual expenditure

- Importance-satisfaction ratings for 26 natural resource attributes, facilities, and services

- Demographics

**Data Collection Sites**

For each of the measures above, data were collected for both the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center and Alpena Shipwreck Tours. Table 1.1 presents the total sample size for respondents intercepted at Alpena Shipwreck Tours and the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center by resident status. The local region, for the purposes of this report, is composed of Presque Isle, Alpena, and Alcona counties (Figure 1.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alpena Shipwreck Tours</th>
<th>Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>Visitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Screeners Issued</strong></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completed Screeners</strong></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completed Mail Surveys</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completed Online Surveys</strong></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completed Surveys</strong></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completion Rate: Issued Screeners</strong></td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completion Rate: Completed Screeners</strong></td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 2 discusses the approach to analyzing recreators’ importance and satisfaction levels when visiting TBNMS. Chapter 3 presents the importance-satisfaction results for this study. For more details on respondents’ expenditures and economic contributions as well as respondents’ sociodemographic characteristics, activities and use profiles, please refer to volumes 1 and 3, respectively.

Figure 1.1 Alpena region
Image: Developed by National Marine Sanctuary Foundation in ArcGIS

Chapter 1: Introduction
CHAPTER 2: IMPORTANCE-SATISFACTION ANALYSIS

For many years, the U.S. Forest Service and many other federal, state, and local agencies that manage parks and/or other natural resources have used the National Satisfaction Index (NSI) for measuring visitor satisfaction. Satisfaction is a complex feature of the recreation/tourist experience and it is now agreed upon by most researchers that “importance-performance” or “importance-satisfaction” is a much more complete measure and provides a much simpler interpretation than the NSI. First described in the marketing literature by Martilla and James (1977), NSI has been described and/or used in such studies as Guadagnolo (1985), Richardson (1987), Hollenhorst, Olson, and Fortney (1992), and Leeworthy and Wiley (1996). Since then the approach has been used in Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (Leeworthy et al., 2010) and Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary (Leeworthy et al., 2015).

The satisfaction questionnaire was divided into two sections to obtain the necessary information for the importance-satisfaction analysis. The first section asks the respondent to read each statement and to rate the importance of each of the 26 items as it contributes to visitor experiences at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center and Alpena Shipwreck Tours. Each item is rated or scored on a one to five Likert scale (1-5) with one (1) meaning “Not Important” and five (5) meaning “Extremely Important.” The respondent was also given the choices of answering “Not Applicable” or “Don’t Know.” The second section asks the respondent to consider the same list of items they just rated for importance and to rate them for how satisfied they were with each of the items on their visit to the Alpena region. Again, a five-point scale was used with one (1) meaning “Not Satisfied” and a score of five (5) meaning “Extremely Satisfied.” Respondents were also given the choices of answering either “Not Applicable” or “Don’t Know.”

In this report, the collected data are presented in multiple ways. First, the means, or average scores, are reported along with the estimated standard errors of the mean, the sample sizes (number of responses), and the percent of respondents who gave a rating. This latter measure is important because many respondents provide importance ratings for selected items but may not have had a chance to use a resource, facility, or service and therefore do not provide a satisfaction rating. This might lead to biases in comparing importance and satisfaction. However, in previous applications, it was found that the analysis is robust with respect to this problem (i.e., it has no significant impact on the conclusions) (see for example Leeworthy and Wiley [1996]).

The second method of presentation is the bar charts showing the mean scores for each item for importance and satisfaction. It is important to note that, while both importance
and satisfaction are measured on a one to five scale, the scales are measuring different metrics and are not directly comparable. They do, however, communicate relative importance/satisfaction relationships across the different items.

The most useful analytical framework provided in importance-satisfaction analysis is the four-quadrant presentation. The four quadrants are formed by first placing the importance measurement on the vertical axis and the satisfaction measurement on the horizontal axis (see Figure 2.1). An additional vertical line is placed at the mean score for all 26 items on the satisfaction scale and an additional horizontal line is placed at the mean score for all 26 items on the importance scale. These two lines form a cross hair. The cross hair then separates the importance-satisfaction measurement area into four separate areas or quadrants. This allows for interpretation as to the “relative importance” and “relative satisfaction” of each item. That is, if everyone gave high scores to all items, researchers would still be able to judge the relative importance and satisfaction and establish priorities.

The use of the four quadrants provides a simple but easy-to-interpret summary of results. Scores falling in the upper left quadrant are relatively high on the importance scale and relatively low on the satisfaction scale. This quadrant is labeled “Concentrate Here.” Scores falling in the upper right quadrant are relatively high on the importance scale and relatively high on the satisfaction scale and are labeled “Keep up the Good Work.” Scores falling in the lower left quadrant are relatively low on both the importance and satisfaction scale and are labeled “Low Priority.” Finally, scores in the lower right quadrant are relatively low on the importance scale but relatively high on the satisfaction scale and are labeled “Possible Overkill.”

In general, the 26 items that visitors were asked to rate are organized into four categories. The items (post-survey) were divided into four categories for ease of interpretation. Four items were labeled “Shipwrecks and Lighthouses” and concern attributes of natural resources, such as clear water, that are associated with viewing and experiencing shipwrecks and lighthouses at or around TBNMS. The next eight items are labeled “Access and Parking” and are either facilities (i.e., marina) that provide access to natural resources or areas or features that provide public access to natural resources (i.e., parking). The next six items are labeled “Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center” and are features associated with the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center to include items from cleanliness of the bathrooms to whether merchandise was available for purchase. The remaining eight items are labeled “Alpena Shipwreck Tours”; these items are similar to those in the previous category but they pertain to visitors’ experiences at Alpena Shipwreck Tours.
Figure 2.1 Importance/satisfaction matrix
Image: NOAA/ONMS
CHAPTER 3: IMPORTANCE-SATISFACTION FOR TBNMS

Importance-Satisfaction Mean Ratings

This section presents the mean level of importance and satisfaction for the sample as a whole, then residents and visitors separately. There were no statistically significant differences between the importance ratings of residents and visitors at the 5% level except the ticket price of Alpena Shipwreck Tours and the length of Alpena Shipwreck Tours. Residents had a statistically higher mean for these two items. There were statistical differences between the satisfaction ratings of residents and visitors, with residents having a statistically higher mean for ability to see shipwrecks while paddling and the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center merchandise available for purchase.

Table 3.1 shows the importance and satisfaction scores for the sample. The blue in the table represents the mean importance score, and the red is the mean satisfaction score. For the sample, the items with the highest level of importance were preservation of lighthouses and welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours. The items with the highest level of satisfaction included welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours, welcoming and friendly staff at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center, and the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center was engaging to the children in my group.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.1 Importance/satisfaction matrix code description, graph of means, and descriptive statistics for sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shipwrecks and Lighthouses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Ability to see shipwrecks while paddling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Visibility of shipwrecks while diving or snorkeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Information and stories about shipwrecks and maritime history in museums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H Preservation of lighthouses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access and Parking</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Public access to Lake Huron (Trails, boardwalks, beaches, &amp; boat launches)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Public access to maritime heritage resources, including shipwreck moorings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Public access to parks and other natural areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G Access to lighthouses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Marina facilities, boat ramps &amp; launching facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Shore side signage with information about maritime heritage and history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Road side/wayfinding signage directing me to GLMHC/Alpena Shipwreck Tours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Parking at/for GLMHC/Alpena Shipwreck Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M GLMHC is free admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N GLMHC merchandise available for purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O Welcoming and friendly staff at GLMHC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P GLMHC was engaging to the children in my group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q Your self-guided experience at GLMHC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Cleanliness of bathrooms at GLMHC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alpena Shipwreck Tours</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T Cleanliness of bathrooms at Alpena Shipwreck Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U The Alpena Shipwreck Tour was engaging to the children in my group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V Narration on Alpena Shipwreck Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W Ticket price of Alpena Shipwreck Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Easy boarding process of Alpena Shipwreck Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y Time spent viewing each shipwreck on Alpena Shipwreck Tours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z Trip length of Alpena Shipwreck Tours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When looking only at residents, the items with

The highest levels of importance were:
- welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours,
- narration on Alpena Shipwreck Tours, and
- the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center was engaging to the children in group.

The highest levels of satisfaction were:
- Ability to see shipwrecks while paddling,
- welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours, and
- visibility of shipwrecks while diving and snorkeling.

When looking only at visitors, the items with:

The highest levels of importance were:
- preservation of lighthouses,
- welcoming and friendly staff at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center, and
- welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours.

The highest levels of satisfaction were:
- the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center was engaging to the children in my group,
- welcoming and friendly staff at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center, and
- welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours.

**Residents: Four Quadrant Analysis**

Below shows the scatter plot for the four quadrant analysis for residents. The mean importance score was 3.7, and the mean satisfaction score was 4.2. The 26 items are separated into the quadrants in Figure 3.1. Some of the lowest priority items (high satisfaction and low importance) were parking and merchandise at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center. The cleanliness of the bathrooms at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center and Alpena Shipwreck Tours narration fell into the “keep up the good work” category (relatively more important and relatively more satisfied).
Figure 3.1 Resident four quadrant analysis

Concentrate Here—Relatively high importance and relatively low satisfaction:
- C. Information and stories about shipwrecks and maritime history in museums
- D. Public access Lake Huron (trails, boardwalks, beaches, & boat launches)
- E. Public access to maritime heritage resources, including shipwreck moorings
- F. Public access to parks and other natural areas
- G. Access to lighthouses
- K. Roadside/wayfinding signage with directions to the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center/Alpena Shipwreck Tours
- W. Ticket price of Alpena Shipwreck Tours
- Y. Time spent viewing each shipwreck on Alpena Shipwreck Tours
- Z. Trip length of Alpena Shipwreck Tours

Low Priority—Relatively low importance and relatively low satisfaction:
- J. Shore-side signage with information and maritime heritage and history
- L. Parking at/for the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center/Alpena Shipwreck Tours
- N. Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center merchandise available for purchase

Possible Overkill—Relatively low importance and relatively high satisfaction
- A. Ability to see shipwrecks while paddling
- I. Marina facilities, boat ramps, & launching facilities
• M. The Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center has free admission
• Q. Self-guided experience in the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center

Keep Up the Good Work—Relatively high importance and relatively high satisfaction
• B. Visibility of shipwrecks while diving and snorkeling
• H. Preservation of lighthouses
• O. Welcoming and friendly staff at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center
• P. The Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center was engaging to children in my group
• R. Cleanliness of bathrooms at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center
• S. Welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours
• T. Cleanliness of bathrooms at Alpena Shipwreck Tours
• U. The Alpena Shipwreck Tour was engaging to the children in my group
• V. Narration on Alpena Shipwreck Tours
• X. Easy boarding process of Alpena Shipwreck Tours

Visitors: Four Quadrant Analysis

The scatter plot for the four quadrant analysis for residents is below. The average importance rating was 3.5, and the average satisfaction rating was 4.1 for visitors. The 26 items are graphed in Figure 3.2. Visitors thought that resources could be “concentrated here” to improve public access to maritime heritage resources, including shipwrecks; shore-side signage with information about maritime heritage and history; and the trip length of Alpena Shipwreck Tours. An area of possible overkill included public access to Lake Huron (trails, boardwalks, beaches, and boat launches). However, public access to parks and other natural areas and information and stories about shipwrecks and maritime history in museums fell into the “keep up the good work” category.

Concentrate Here—Relatively high importance and relatively low satisfaction
• E. Public access to maritime heritage resources, including shipwrecks moorings
• J. Shore-side signage with information about maritime heritage and history
• Y. Time spent viewing each shipwreck on Alpena Shipwreck Tours
• Z. Trip length of Alpena Shipwreck Tours

Low Priority—Relatively low importance and relatively low satisfaction
• A. Ability to see shipwrecks
• B. Visibility of shipwrecks while diving or snorkeling
• I. Marina facilities, boat ramps, & launching facilities
• K. Roadside/wayfinding signage with directions to the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center/Alpena Shipwreck Tours
• L. Parking at/for the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center/Alpena Shipwreck Tours
• N. Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center merchandise available for purchase
W. Ticket price of Alpena Shipwreck Tours

Possible Overkill—Relatively low importance and relatively high satisfaction
M. The Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center has free admission

Keep Up the Good Work—Relatively high importance and relatively high satisfaction
C. Information and stories about shipwrecks and maritime history in museums
D. Public access to Lake Huron (trails, boardwalks, beaches, & boat launches)
F. Public access to parks and other natural areas
G. Access to lighthouses
H. Preservation of lighthouses
O. Welcoming and friendly staff at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center
P. The Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center was engaging to the children in my group
Q. Self-guided experience at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center
R. Cleanliness of bathrooms at the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center
S. Welcoming and friendly staff at Alpena Shipwreck Tours
T. Cleanliness of bathrooms at Alpena Shipwreck Tours
U. The Alpena Shipwreck Tour was engaging to the children in my group
V. Narration on Alpena Shipwreck Tours
X. Easy boarding process of Alpena Shipwreck Tours

Figure 3.2 Visitor four quadrant analysis
CHAPTER 4: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Importance and satisfaction ratings reflect peoples’ perceptions of resources and resource attributes. Having an understanding of this metric allows researchers and managers to improve resource condition and user experience. If recreators are not satisfied with their experiences, they could substitute other sites for their recreation and reduce their level of use, shifting their spending away from local economics.

Peoples’ perceptions can sometimes be misinformed or influenced by other outside forces. When the ecological state of a resource does not correspond to peoples’ perceptions, this is an education/outreach opportunity. This means it is possible that the resource condition is poor, but people may perceive it as good. Education and outreach can be used to close the gap between science and perception. If peoples’ perceptions and ecological monitoring are in agreement, then this suggests people are aware of the actual state of the resources.

Limitations

Developing an understanding of visitation, visitors’ expenditures, and users’ various activities in and around the sanctuary helps both management and the local community. While this study developed a significant body of socioeconomic information, it was limited to only those who use Alpena Shipwreck Tours or the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center. In other words, this study did not capture the entire population of users, including those who visit the sanctuary but do not visit the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center or Alpena Shipwreck Tours. It is possible that the users who visit the sanctuary but do not visit these two sites could have different results than what is presented herein.

Future Research

Future work will seek to survey the entire population of users, including those who visit the sanctuary but do not visit the Great Lakes Maritime Heritage Center or Alpena Shipwreck Tours. Additionally, ensuring a large enough sample to discern expenditure estimates by resident status (visitor vs. resident) will be a focus in future studies. More generally, NOAA’s Office of National Marine Sanctuaries and TBNMS staff can use this information as groundwork to inform future studies and understand who the users are, what they are doing, how they perceive the condition of natural resources they use during their activities, and how they value those resources.
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