

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments to Adrienne Thomas, NOAA PRA Officer, at [Adrienne.thomas@noaa.gov](mailto:Adrienne.thomas@noaa.gov). Please reference OMB Control Number 0648–0459 in the subject line of your comments. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or specific questions related to collection activities should be directed to Cathy Ross, PRA Coordinator, NOAA Ocean Service, 1305 East-West Hwy, [catherine.ross@noaa.gov](mailto:catherine.ross@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

NOAA's Office for Coastal Management requests the extension of a currently approved information collection NOAA has, or is given, authority under the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA), annual appropriations or other authorities, to issue funds to coastal states, localities or other recipients for planning, conservation, acquisition, protection, restoration, or construction projects. The required information enables NOAA to implement the Coastal and Estuarine Land Conservation Program (CZMA Section 307A), under its current or future authorization, and facilitate the review of similar projects under different, but related, authorities, including the National Estuarine Research Reserve System (CZMA Section 315) Land Acquisition and Construction program, the Coastal Zone Management Program's low-cost acquisition and construction program (CZMA Section 306A), or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.

This collection covers the development of state coastal land conservation plans, and collection of information specifically needed for applying for and carrying out land acquisition, restoration, and construction projects, such as: Appraisals, property surveys and site plans, legal documentation such as deeds, easements and/or plats, and information needed for environmental compliance reviews. Such information is collected from project applicants or sub-recipients, which are typically state or local government agencies, but may also include nongovernmental or tribal organizations.

The information will be used in evaluating project proposals, reviewing the location and impact of proposed activities, documenting compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act and other applicable statutes, and

conducting due diligence on market value, title encumbrances, property boundaries, proper recording of legal instruments. No changes are proposed to the collection.

**II. Method of Collection**

Electronic formats are the preferred method for submitting CELCP plans, project applications, performance reports and other required materials. However, respondents may submit materials in electronic or paper formats. Project applications are normally submitted electronically via [Grants.gov](https://www.grants.gov) but may be submitted by mail in paper form if electronic submittal is not a viable option. Methods of submittal for plans, performance reports or other required materials may include electronic submittal via email or NOAA Grants Online, mail and facsimile transmission of paper forms, or submittal of electronic files on compact disc.

**III. Data**

*OMB Control Number:* 0648–0459.

*Form Number(s):* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission (extension of a currently approved information collection).

*Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Government; not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 50.

*Estimated Time per Response:* CELCP Plans, 120 hours to develop, 35 hours to revise or update; project application and checklist, 20 hours; semi-annual and annual reporting, 5 hours each.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1,410.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$205 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

*Legal Authority:* Coastal Zone Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1451, et seq).

**IV. Request for Comments**

We are soliciting public comments to permit the Department/Bureau to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the time and cost burden for this proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Evaluate ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including the use of automated

collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this ICR. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Sheleen Dumas,**

*Department PRA Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Commerce Department.*

[FR Doc. 2021–24603 Filed 11–9–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–08–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**Notice of Intent To Conduct Scoping and To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary**

**AGENCY:** Office of National Marine Sanctuaries (ONMS), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce (DOC).

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement and hold public scoping meetings; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NMSA), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is initiating a process to consider designating a portion of waters along and offshore of the central coast of California as a national marine sanctuary. NOAA is initiating this process based on the area's qualities and boundaries as described in the community-based nomination<sup>1</sup> submitted on July 17, 2015, excluding any geographical overlap of the boundaries proposed for the Morro Bay 399 Area as described in the July 29,

<sup>1</sup> [https://nmsnominat.blob.core.windows.net/nominate-prod/media/documents/nomination\\_chumash\\_heritage\\_071715.pdf](https://nmsnominat.blob.core.windows.net/nominate-prod/media/documents/nomination_chumash_heritage_071715.pdf).

2021 **Federal Register** notice.<sup>2</sup> The designation process will be conducted concurrently with a public process under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) to prepare an environmental impact statement. NOAA is initiating this public scoping process to invite comments on the scope and significance of issues to be addressed in the environmental impact statement that are related to designating this area as a national marine sanctuary. The results of this scoping process will assist NOAA in moving forward with the designation process, including preparation and release of draft designation documents, and in formulating alternatives for the draft environmental impact statement, including developing national marine sanctuary boundaries, regulations, and a management plan. This scoping process will also inform the initiation of any consultations with federal, state, or local agencies, tribes, and other interested parties, as appropriate.

**DATES:** Comments are due by January 10, 2022. NOAA will host virtual public scoping meetings at the following dates and times:

- Wednesday, December 8, 2021, 6 p.m.–9 p.m. Pacific Time
- Monday, December 13, 2021, 1 p.m.–4 p.m. Pacific Time
- Thursday, January 6, 2022, 4 p.m.–7 p.m. Pacific Time

NOAA may end a meeting before the time noted above if all those participating have completed their oral comments.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments on this document by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and enter “NOAA–NOS–2021–0080” in the Search box. Click on the “Comment” icon, complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments.
- **Mail:** Send any hard copy public comments by mail to: Paul Michel, NOAA Sanctuaries West Coast Regional Office, 99 Pacific Street, Building 100F, Monterey, CA 93940.
- **Public Scoping Meetings:** Provide oral comments during virtual public scoping meetings, as described under **DATES**. Webinar registration details and additional information about how to participate in these public scoping meetings is available at [www.sanctuaries.noaa.gov/chumash-heritage](http://www.sanctuaries.noaa.gov/chumash-heritage).

**Instructions:** Comments sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the end of the comment period, may not be considered by NOAA. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted for public viewing on <https://www.regulations.gov> without change. All personal identifying information (for example, name, address, etc.), confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the commenter will be publicly accessible. NOAA will accept anonymous comments (enter “N/A” in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Michel, (831) 241–4217, [paul.michel@noaa.gov](mailto:paul.michel@noaa.gov), West Coast Region Policy Coordinator.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Background on Sanctuary Nomination.*

The National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NMSA), 16 U.S.C. 1431 *et seq.*, authorizes the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) to designate and protect as national marine sanctuaries areas of the marine environment that are of special national significance due to their conservation, recreational, ecological, historical, scientific, cultural, archeological, educational, or aesthetic qualities. Day-to-day management of national marine sanctuaries has been delegated by the Secretary to the NOAA Office of National Marine Sanctuaries (ONMS). The primary objective of the NMSA is to protect the resources of the National Marine Sanctuary System.

In July 2015, Fred Collins, on behalf of the Northern Chumash Tribal Council, submitted a nomination to NOAA through the Sanctuary Nomination Process (79 FR 33851), asking NOAA to consider designating an area on the central California coast as a national marine sanctuary. The nomination has been endorsed by a diverse coalition of organizations and individuals at tribal, local, state, regional, and national levels including elected officials, businesses, recreational users, conservation groups, fishing associations, tourism companies, museums, historical societies, and education groups. The submitted nomination package is available at: <https://nominate.noaa.gov/nominations/>. The nomination asks NOAA to protect this nationally significant area for its culturally and biologically important resources. The nomination also identifies opportunities for NOAA to expand upon existing local and state efforts to study, interpret, and

manage the area’s unique cultural and biological resources.

NOAA added the area to the inventory of nominations that are eligible for designation in October 2015 and extended it on the inventory in September 2020 at the five-year interval after a review of the nomination (85 FR 61935). NOAA is now initiating the process to potentially designate the nominated area, excluding any geographical overlap of the boundaries proposed for the Morro Bay 399 Area in the July 29, 2021 **Federal Register** Notice of Commercial Leasing for Wind Power Development on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Offshore Morro Bay, California, East and West Extensions—Call for Information and Nominations (86 FR 40869), as a national marine sanctuary. The proposed designation is consistent with the Biden-Harris Administration’s complementary goals to tackle the climate crisis per Executive Order 14008,<sup>3</sup> including by conserving and restoring ocean and coastal habitats, supporting tribally and locally led stewardship, and advancing offshore wind and other clean energy projects.

The proposed national marine sanctuary would run along the mean high tide line from approximately Cambria at the terminal boundary of Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary (MBNMS), south along the San Luis Obispo County coast, excluding Morro Bay harbor and Port San Luis, and then further south to include the coast of Santa Barbara County to approximately Gaviota Creek, then offshore in a southwest direction along the western end of Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary (CINMS), southward to include Rodriguez Seamount and shifting to the northwest to include the Santa Lucia Bank, to reconnect with the boundary for MBNMS offshore Cambria, and following that boundary eastward to the point of origin at the shoreline. As stated above, the proposed sanctuary designation excludes the area that geographically overlaps the proposed Morro Bay 399 Area. NOAA estimates the area encompassed in the proposed designation is approximately 7,000 square miles. A map of the proposed area can be found at <https://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/chumash-heritage>.

The area contains unique and diverse ecosystems essential to the heritage of the Chumash, one of the few ocean-going bands among the First Peoples of the Pacific Coast. The marine

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/07/29/2021-16134/commercial-leasing-for-wind-power-development-on-the-outer-continental-shelf-ocs-offshore-morro-bay>.

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/02/01/2021-02177/tackling-the-climate-crisis-at-home-and-abroad>.

environment provides a special sense of place to coastal communities and visitors because of its significant historic, archaeological, cultural, aesthetic and biological resources. The area has special ecological qualities as well, shaped by significant offshore geologic features (e.g., Rodriguez Seamount, Santa Lucia Bank and Arguello Canyon). Seasonal upwelling serves as the engine of the area's high biological productivity, supporting dense aggregations of marine life. The presence of a biogeographic transition zone, where temperate waters from the north meet the subtropics, creates an area of nationally significant biodiversity in sea birds, marine mammals, invertebrates, and fishes. The area is also known for its extensive kelp forests, seagrass beds, and wetlands that serve as nurseries for numerous commercial fish species and as important habitat for many threatened and endangered species such as humpback whales, blue whales, the southern sea otter, black abalone, snowy plovers and leatherback sea turtles.

The area being considered for sanctuary designation also contains more than 200 known shipwrecks. The area off Point Conception is a significant feature in California's long maritime history, with vessels regularly traversing the coast and, on occasion, sinking in this region. This collection of shipwrecks and overall maritime landscape are nationally significant because of the representativeness of the shipwrecks, their location on one of the nation's most historically important transportation corridors, and the potential for the discovery of other shipwrecks and submerged pre-contact cultural sites.

Proponents of the national marine sanctuary have also highlighted the maritime history and cultural heritage of the Chumash Tribal nation with the sanctuary proposal. Some of the earliest documented human habitation of North America is in this region and various bands of Chumash and other indigenous Tribes have deep cultural connections to this area of central California. While much of the coast of San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara counties has been surveyed for Native American artifacts and settlements, the continental shelf may well hold yet undiscovered paleoshorelines and archaeological resources worthy of study and conservation.

Coastal communities are spread along the coastline of San Luis Obispo County. There are two primary entry points for vessels—Morro Bay and Port San Luis. Further south in Santa Barbara County, the coast is remote with more

limited access, such as in and around Vandenberg Space Force Base or Hollister Ranch. Current human uses include commercial and recreational fishing, kayaking, surfing, diving, wildlife watching, research and general recreation such as beach walking or boating.

### **I. Purpose and Need for Sanctuary Designation**

The purpose and need for the designation is to fulfill the purposes and policies outlined in Section 301(b) of the NMSA, 16 U.S.C. 1431(b), including to identify and designate as national marine sanctuaries areas of the marine environment which are of special national significance, provide authority for comprehensive and coordinated conservation and management of these marine areas, and to protect the resources of these areas. In particular, the proposed designation would:

- Develop coordinated and collaborative marine science, education and outreach, cultural heritage programs to assist in managing the area's nationally significant resources;
- Highlight the many diverse human activities, cultural connections and maritime heritage of the area, from the various First Nations to existing activities in the area;
- Respond to community interest in conserving the natural environments, wildlife and cultural resources of this area; and
- Provide additional conservation and comprehensive ecosystem-based management to address threats to the nationally significant resources of the proposed sanctuary.

### **II. Preliminary Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives**

NOAA's proposed action is to consider designating Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary, as described in, Background on Sanctuary Nomination, via the sanctuary designation process detailed in section 304 of the NMSA (16 U.S.C. 1434). As part of the sanctuary designation process, NOAA will develop draft designation documents including a draft sanctuary management plan, proposed sanctuary regulations, and proposed terms of designation. Each national marine sanctuary has management programs developed with public input and crafted to meet the specific issues and resources found in that sanctuary. The NEPA process for sanctuary designation will include preparation of a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) to consider alternatives and describe potential effects of the sanctuary designation on the human

environment. The DEIS will evaluate a reasonable range of action alternatives that could include different options for sanctuary regulations, potential boundaries, and management plan goals. The DEIS will also consider a No Action Alternative, wherein NOAA would not designate the proposed sanctuary. The results of this scoping process will assist NOAA in formulating alternatives for the DEIS, including options for sanctuary boundaries, regulations, and a management plan. Reasonable alternatives that are identified during the scoping period will be evaluated in the DEIS.

### **III. Summary of Expected Impacts of Sanctuary Designation**

The DEIS will identify and describe the potential effects of the Proposed Action, and reasonable alternatives, on the human environment. Potential impacts may include, but are not limited to, impacts on the area's: Natural marine resources, including habitats, plants, birds, sea turtles, marine mammals, and special status species; maritime, cultural and historic resources, including Traditional Cultural Properties and archaeological sites; human uses and socioeconomic of the area, such as research, recreation, education, energy development, cultural practices, fishing. Based on a preliminary evaluation of the resources listed above, NOAA expects potential impacts of enhanced protection of the area's natural, cultural and historic resources; improved planning and coordination of research, monitoring, and management actions; reducing harmful human activities and disturbance of special status species; restoration of native habitat and species populations; reducing threats and stressors to resources; and minimal disturbance during research or restoration actions.

### **IV. Process for Sanctuary Designation and Environmental Review**

The designation process includes the following well-established and highly participatory stages:

1. Public Scoping Process—Information collection and characterization, including the consideration of public comments received during scoping;
2. Preparation of Draft Documents—Preparation and release of draft designation documents, including: A DEIS, prepared pursuant to NEPA, that identifies boundary and/or regulatory alternatives; a draft management plan; and a notice of proposed rulemaking to define proposed sanctuary regulations. Draft documents would be used to

initiate consultations with federal, state, or local agencies, tribes and other interested parties, as appropriate;

3. Public Comment—Through public meetings and in writing, allow for public review and comment on the DEIS, draft management plan, and notice of proposed rulemaking;

4. Preparation of Final Documents—Preparation and release of a final environmental impact statement (FEIS), final management plan, including a response to public comments, and a final rule and regulations.

5. The sanctuary designation and regulations would take effect after the end of a review period of forty-five days of a continuous session of Congress. During this same period, should the designation include state waters, the Governor of the state has the opportunity to concurrently review the terms of designation including boundaries within state waters.

#### *Schedule for the Decision-Making Process*

NOAA expects to make the DEIS and other draft documents available to the public by late 2022. NOAA expects to make the FEIS available to the public in Fall 2023. A Record of Decision and the final management plan and final rule will be completed no sooner than 30 days after the FEIS is made available to the public, in accordance with 40 CFR 1506.11.

#### *NEPA Lead and Cooperating Agency Roles*

NOAA is the lead federal agency for the NEPA process for the Proposed Action. NOAA may invite other federal, Tribal, or State and local government agencies to become cooperating agencies in the preparation of this EIS. NEPA regulations specify that a cooperating agency means any Federal agency (and a State, Tribal, or local agency with agreement of the lead agency) that has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved in a proposal (or a reasonable alternative) (40 CFR 1508.1(e)).

#### **V. Public Scoping Process**

With this notice, NOAA is initiating a public scoping process to gather input from individuals, organizations, federal agencies, and state, tribal, and local governments on the proposed designation of Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary. NOAA intends to use this process to determine the scope and significant issues to be analyzed in depth in the DEIS, with consideration of the scoping factors and responsibilities provided in 40 CFR

1501.9. NOAA specifically requests comments on the following topics, including the identification of potential alternatives, information, and analyses relevant to the proposed action:

- The spatial extent of the proposed sanctuary and boundary alternatives NOAA should consider, starting with the boundary as described in Section. Background on Sanctuary Nomination;
- the location, nature, and value of the resources, including natural and submerged cultural resources as well as the indigenous heritage of the area, that would be protected by a sanctuary;
- potential positive and negative impacts to those resources;
- the management plan and regulatory framework most appropriate to the resources in the area, including compatible and incompatible uses;
- the potential socioeconomic, cultural, and biological impacts of designation;
- the potential to highlight the indigenous history and culture of the area;
- the potential to support research and advance scientific understanding;
- information regarding historic properties in the area and the potential effects to those historic properties to support National Historic Preservation Act compliance under Section 106;
- opportunities to benefit the “blue economy” of the region, including promoting sustainable tourism and recreation;
- potential name for the new sanctuary;
- the potential to advance multiple, complementary priorities of the Federal administration, the Department of Commerce, and NOAA, including conserving and restoring ocean and coastal habitats, supporting Tribally and locally led stewardship, and advancing offshore wind and other clean energy projects;
- the potential location of an administrative office as well as coastal education facilities including possibly a visitor center; and
- other information relevant to the designation and management of a new sanctuary in this proposed area.

Comments may be submitted to NOAA by January 10, 2022 using the methods described in **ADDRESSES**. NOAA will host public scoping meetings during the public comment period, as described under **DATES**.

#### **VI. Anticipated Permits, Authorizations, and Consultations**

Federal, state, and local permits, authorizations, or consultations may be required for the Proposed Action, including consultation or review under

the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*, Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*, National Historic Preservation Act, 54 U.S.C. 300101 *et seq.*, and Executive Order 13175, consistency review under the Coastal Zone Management Act, 16 U.S.C. 1451 *et seq.*, and possibly reviews under other laws and regulations determined to be applicable to the proposed action. To the fullest extent possible, NOAA will prepare the DEIS concurrently with and integrated with analyses required by other Federal environmental review requirements, and the DEIS will list all Federal permits, licenses, and other authorizations that must be obtained in implementing the proposed action. See 40 CFR 1502.24.

#### *Consultation Under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and Executive Order 13175*

This notice confirms that NOAA will coordinate its responsibilities under section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act during the sanctuary designation process and is soliciting public and stakeholder input to meet section 106 compliance requirements. The section 106 consultation process specifically applies to any agency undertaking that may affect historic properties. Pursuant to 36 CFR 800.16(1)(1), historic properties include: “Any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places maintained by the Secretary of the Interior. The term includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties. The term includes properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization and that meet the National Register criteria.”

This notice also confirms that, with respect to the proposed sanctuary designation process, NOAA will fulfill its responsibilities under Executive Order 13175, “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments,” and NOAA implementing policy and procedures. Executive Order 13175 requires federal agencies to establish procedures for meaningful consultation and coordination with Tribal officials in the development of federal policies that have Tribal implications. NOAA implements Executive Order 13175 through the NOAA Administrative Order 218–8 (Policy on Government-to-Government Consultation with Federally Recognized Indian Tribes and Alaska Native Corporations), and the

NOAA Tribal Consultation Handbook. Under these policies and procedures, NOAA offers affected federally recognized Tribes government-to-government consultation at the earliest practicable time it can reasonably anticipate that a proposed policy or initiative may have Tribal implications.

*Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1431 *et seq.*; 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*; 40 CFR 1500–1508 (NEPA Implementing Regulations); Companion Manual for NOAA Administrative Order 216–6A.

**John Armor,**

*Director, Office of National Marine Sanctuaries, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2021–24609 Filed 11–9–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–NK–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

[RTID 0648–XB573]

**Marine Mammals and Endangered Species**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; issuance of permits and permit amendments.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that permits and permit amendments have been issued to the following entities under the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) and the Endangered Species Act (ESA), as applicable.

**ADDRESSES:** The permits and related documents are available for review upon written request via email to [NMFS.Pr1Comments@noaa.gov](mailto:NMFS.Pr1Comments@noaa.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Shasta McClenahan, Ph.D. (Permit Nos. 20532–01 and 25740) and Sara Young (Permit No. 25786); at (301) 427–8401.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notices were published in the **Federal Register** on the dates listed below that requests for a permit or permit amendment had been submitted by the below-named applicants. To locate the **Federal Register** notice that announced our receipt of the application and a complete description of the activities, go to [www.federalregister.gov](http://www.federalregister.gov) and search on the permit number provided in Table 1 below.

TABLE 1—ISSUED PERMITS AND PERMIT AMENDMENTS

Permit No.	RTID	Applicant	Previous <b>Federal Register</b> Notice	Issuance date
20532–01 .....	0648–XE766	Stephen John Trumble, Ph.D., Baylor University, 101 Bagby Avenue, Waco, TX 76706.	84 FR 10795; March 22, 2019.	October 19, 2021.
25740 .....	0648–XB363	Center for Coastal Studies, 5 Holway Avenue, Provincetown, MA 02657 (Responsible Party: Richard Delaney).	86 FR 47478; August 25, 2021.	October 26, 2021.
25786 .....	0648–XB299	NMFS’ Southwest Fisheries Science Center, 8901 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037 (Responsible Party: George Watters, Ph.D.).	86 FR 42790; August 5, 2021.	October 26, 2021.

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), a final determination has been made that the activities proposed are categorically excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.

As required by the ESA, as applicable, issuance of these permit was based on a finding that such permits: (1) Were applied for in good faith; (2) will not operate to the disadvantage of such endangered species; and (3) are consistent with the purposes and policies set forth in Section 2 of the ESA.

*Authority:* The requested permits have been issued under the MMPA of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*), the regulations governing the taking and importing of marine mammals (50 CFR part 216), the ESA of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), and the regulations governing the taking, importing, and exporting of endangered and threatened species (50 CFR parts 222–226), as applicable.

Dated: November 4, 2021.

**Julia M. Harrison,**

*Chief, Permits and Conservation Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2021–24518 Filed 11–9–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–22–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

[RTID 0648–XB567]

**Endangered and Threatened Species; Take of Anadromous Fish**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; proposed evaluation and pending determinations for five resource management plans in the Hood Canal Basin.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that NMFS has drafted Proposed Evaluation and Pending Determinations (PEPD) for five resource management plans (RMPs) for the rearing and releasing Chinook

salmon, coho salmon, and chum salmon, and for research of Puget Sound Steelhead in the Hood Canal Basin of Washington State. The RMPs are in the form of hatchery and genetic management plans (HGMPs) for hatchery programs operated by Long Live the Kings (LLTK), the Port Gamble S’Klallam Tribe (PGST), the Skokomish Tribe (ST), and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). In 2016 NMFS certified that the five HGMPs satisfied limit 6 of the 4(d) rule. The revised HGMPs will replace the versions of the same plans now in place. NMFS is notifying the public of the availability and opportunity to comment on PEPDs for the new programs. The hatchery programs are intended to contribute to fulfilling Federal tribal trust responsibilities and treaty rights guaranteed through treaties and affirmed in *U.S. v. Washington* (1974). The program operators submitted revised HGMPs for the following changes: (1) Improve the available forage to southern resident killer whales; and (2) investigate genetic diversity of Puget Sound Steelhead and the effects of release timing on marine survival of fall Chinook salmon.