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ABSTRACT

This document presents the results of baseline monitoring of a repaired coral reef injured by the M/V *Wave Walker* vessel grounding incident of January 19, 2001. This grounding occurred in Florida state waters within the boundaries of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS). The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida, (“State of Florida” or “state”) are the co-trustees for the natural resources within the FKNMS. This report documents the efficacy of the restoration effort, the condition of the restored reef area two year and four months post-effort, and provides a picture of surrounding reference areas, so as to provide a basis for future comparisons by which to evaluate the long-term success of the restoration.

KEY WORDS

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstract and Key Words</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table of Contents</td>
<td>ii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Figures and Tables</td>
<td>iii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage Assessment</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Reef Restoration</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Studies</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration Monitoring</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Methods</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photographic Analysis</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Integrity</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Condition</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Cited</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Table Number and Title                                                                    Page
Table 1 – Event timeline for the *M/V Wave Walker* grounding site restoration..... 2
Table 2 – Observed structural characteristics of restoration module and reattached coral fragments in July 2004................................................................. 7

Figure Number and Title                                                                    Page
Figure 1 – Approximate location (shown on NOAA Chart 11452) that the *M/V Wave Walker* ran aground on a large coral colony offshore of Plantation Key on January 19, 2001 ................................................................. 2
Figure 2 – The remains of the *M/V Wave Walker* following damage suffered from grounding on a large coral colony offshore of Plantation Key........................................ 3
Figure 3 – Dislodged portion of the colony sidewall of a *Montastrea annularis* damaged by the grounding of the *M/V Wave Walker*. Photo view is toward the northwest................................................................. 3
Figure 4 – Schematic planar view map of the *M/V Wave Walker* restoration site............... 8
Figure 5 – Views of the repaired coral colony looking to the north (A), west (B), south (C), and east (D)................................................................. 9
Figure 6 – Photo mosaic of reattached corals in the reef crown installed adjacent to the repaired coral colony. For the photos, the reef crown was overlain with a 1 m² quadrat. The smaller squares are 1/16 m²........................................ 10
Figure 7 – A large (> 1 m diameter) colony of *Colpophyllia natans* that exhibited signs of coral bleaching at “The Rocks” patch reef on July 23, 2004. This colony and several others adjacent to the restoration site were observed in various states of bleaching................................................................. 11
Figure 8 – Planar view of a section of the repaired coral colony damaged by the *Wave Walker* .................................................................................................................. 11
Figure 9 – Outline (in red) of the plastic sheet cut to delineate the interface of the concrete surface and live coral tissue along the rim of the coral colony ................. 12
INTRODUCTION

This document presents the results of baseline monitoring of a repaired coral reef injured by the M/V Wave Walker vessel grounding incident of January 19, 2001. This grounding occurred in Florida state waters within the boundaries of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS). The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida, (“State of Florida” or “state”) are the co-trustees for the natural resources within the FKNMS and, thus, are responsible for mediating the restoration of the damaged marine resources and monitoring the outcome of the restoration actions. The restoration monitoring program tracks patterns of biological recovery, determines the success of restoration measures, and assesses the resiliency to environmental and anthropogenic disturbances of the site over time. To evaluate restoration success, reference habitats adjacent to the restoration site are concurrently monitored to compare the condition of restored reef areas with “natural” coral reef areas unimpacted by the vessel grounding.

The monitoring program of the Wave Walker site included an assessment of the structural stability and biological condition of the repaired coral colony, an installed restoration module, and reattached coral fragments performed on the following schedule: immediately (i.e., baseline), 1, 3, and 6 years after restoration and following a catastrophic event (Table 1).

Restoration of this site was completed on March 22, 2002. Due to unavoidable delays in the settlement of the case, the monitoring schedule was adjusted accordingly and the “baseline” monitoring event for this site occurred in 2004.

Table 1. Event timeline for the M/V Wave Walker grounding site restoration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vessel Grounding</td>
<td>January 19, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>January 26, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration</td>
<td>March 22, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline Monitoring</strong></td>
<td><strong>July 23, 2004</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year One Monitoring</td>
<td>Summer 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Three Monitoring</td>
<td>Summer 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Six Monitoring</td>
<td>Summer 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-catastrophic Monitoring (once)</td>
<td>Summer 2004-2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Damage Assessment

[Note: The information in this section was adapted from the Discussion section of the Walker Vessel Grounding Assessment prepared by William B. Goodwin]

The Wave Walker, a 52-foot motor vessel, struck and damaged a large coral colony at “The Rocks,” a patch reef offshore of Plantation Key, Florida, on January 19, 2001 (Figure 1). The vessel suffered significant hull damage and sunk as a result of the grounding (Figure 2). The Rocks are a cluster of sizeable Montastrea annularis colonies located 1 nautical mile inshore of the much more highly developed “Hen and Chickens” reef. Typical for large specimens of this species, the injured colony displayed a “volcano-like” shape, in which the top central area was mostly dead and sunken due to bioerosion, with a crown of living tissue encircling the rim of the depression. When the hull and running gear of the vessel struck the colony, much of the live tissue along the colony rim was broken into relatively large pieces. These pieces sheared off and landed either in the colony’s central depression or on the surrounding substrate. Additionally, a large (1.5 m²) portion of the colony’s sidewall was broken off, exposing the interior framework (Figure 3). In total, 10 m² of coral cover was lost as result of this grounding incident.

Figure 1. Approximate location (shown on NOAA Chart 11452) that the M/V Wave Walker ran aground on a large coral colony offshore of Plantation Key on January 19, 2001.
Figure 2. The remains of the M/V *Wave Walker* following damage suffered from grounding on a large coral colony offshore of Plantation Key.

Figure 3. Dislodged portion of the colony sidewall of a *Montastrea annularis* damaged by the grounding of the M/V *Wave Walker*. Photo view is towards the northwest.
Coral Reef Restoration

[Note: The following information was adapted from the Wave Walker Restoration Plan prepared by J. Harold Hudson]

The objectives of the M/V Wave Walker site restoration were to 1) repair the sidewall and rim of the injured coral colony (Figure 3), and 2) reattach dislodged live coral fragments on the colony’s skirt and in an adjacent “reef crown” restoration module. To accomplish these objectives, the damaged rim and sidewall of the colony were stabilized with a layer of 50/50 Portland cement and silica sand grout anchored to the framework with concrete nails. Three large, broken sections of coral were also reattached to the flank of the damaged colony with cement grout. Additional coral fragments were cemented into a reef crown placed on the seafloor approximately 2 meters from the restored colony. The reef crown was a 1.2 m diameter, cylindrical structure created with Portland type II cement, sand, limestone rock aggregate, and boulders and anchored to the substrate with iron reinforcing rods. Short-length (15cm) fiberglass reinforcing rods were used to ensure structural integrity of cement layers with modules. Restoration activities were completed on March 22, 2002.

Project oversight was provided by Harold Hudson, FKNMS, with the restoration performed by Marine Resources, Inc. (MRI). Field operations by MRI during the habitat restoration were conducted using a 9-m (30-ft) MAKO® vessel with sufficient deck space to allow transport of reef replacement modules and to accommodate SCUBA and construction equipment. On-board navigation during transit to and from the project site was achieved using a Furuno® differential global positioning system (dGPS).

Previous Studies

Ginsburg and colleagues (2001) recorded the condition of 3,232 coral colonies at 22 shallow patch reef sites throughout the Florida Reef Tract. From their surveys, they distinguished two primary types of patch reef sites: cluster reefs and composite reefs. Cluster reefs consisted of giant and coalesced coral colonies 1-5 m in diameter, typically of *Montastraea annularis* or *M. faveolata* or occasionally *Siderastrea siderea* and *Colpophyllia natans*. The patch reef “The Rocks” where the Wave Walker ran aground was identified as a cluster reef by Ginsburg and colleagues (2001). To estimate the live coral cover of large colonies (> 1 m in diameter) at 65 cluster reef sites throughout the Florida Keys, the authors used the line point intercept transect sampling method (Loya 1978) in a radial array on each colony. Among the cluster reefs, sixty percent of coral colonies surveyed had a range of 30-60% coral cover.
Restoration Monitoring

The purpose of the coral restoration monitoring program is to evaluate the success of trustee actions in achieving restoration goals and to determine if remedial measures are needed. For a grounding site such as the M/V Wave Walker, the evaluation of restoration efforts involves the identification of appropriate success criteria and the design and implementation of a sampling and analysis plan. A list of success criteria measures for structural and functional aspects of coral reef restoration as well as a framework for monitoring activities is identified by NOAA (Thayer et al. 2003).

The guiding hypotheses for the evaluation of the “restoration” site reflects the efficacy of the restoration techniques and the condition of the site relative to reference habitats. The monitoring program addresses if the chosen restoration methods are effective and when the site could be considered restored. The structural integrity of the repaired coral colony is evaluated with the following questions:

1. Is the repaired sidewall and installed cement grout cap on the injured colony stable (i.e., firmly attached to the substrate)? Also, are the reattached colonies and the reef crown stable?
2. Are there any visible cracks in the cement grout surface of the repaired colony, reattached fragments, or the reef crown?
3. Is there any noticeable physical damage to the reattached coral colonies?

In addition, the biological condition of the restoration site is evaluated with the following questions:

1. What is the survivorship of the reattached coral fragments?
2. Is there a difference in coral cover between the repaired colony and the reference for cluster patch reefs reported by Ginsburg and colleagues (2001)?
3. Is the coral tissue overgrowing, maintaining, or receding from the interface with the cement grout on top of the colony?

The monitoring program is designed to detect significant changes in coral cover or damage to restoration components (structural enhancements, etc.) as a result of external events, such as major storms or vandalism, and to compare these conditions with the surrounding habitat. In addition, the monitoring assesses the effectiveness of the restoration based upon technical evaluation of appropriate parameters.
METHODOLOGY

Field Methods

On July 23, 2004, we monitored the Wave Walker restoration site using SCUBA from a small vessel (6.4 m). The geographic location of the repaired coral colony was recorded with a dGPS Garmin 76 as latitude 24°57.229 N and longitude 80°32.884 W. To evaluate the physical characteristics of the restoration site, tactile and visual stability assessments were performed by searching for surface cracks and damaged coral fragments while attempting to displace parts of the repaired colony, reef crown, and reattached fragments. To track coral tissue growth or recession on the rim of the repaired colony, the tissue along the edge of the cement cap was mapped with a clear, colorless plastic sheet. The sheet was anchored to the surface of the colony with nails and cut underwater to the exact size and shape of the cement cap along the interface of live coral tissue. A planar photograph of the plastic sheet was digitized and analyzed in the software program Canvas™ to determine the area of the cement grout cap. To determine the biological condition of the site, in situ observations, digital images, and digital videos were recorded for the repaired coral colony, reattached fragments, installed reef crown, and surrounding reef area. Underwater digital images were collected with an Olympus C-5050 digital camera in a Light & Motion Tetra 5050 underwater housing and digital videos were collected with a Sony DCR-DVD200 video camera in an Amphibico QuickView DVD underwater housing.

Photographic Analysis

Digital images were edited with Adobe Photoshop version 7 (Adobe 2002). Edits included hue changes to make water look more blue, brightness changes to compensate for original exposure, and sharpness changes to enhance images not in focus. Coral cover of the repaired colony and two nearby reference colonies was estimated from a set of horizontal digital images of a colony’s four sides (i.e., facing north, south, east, and west). The benthic type (i.e., coral or non-coral) was evaluated beneath fifty randomly generated points overlain on each image in the Coral Point Count (CPC) software program (Kohler 2004). Planar images of the quadrat were corrected for barrel distortion of the extreme wide angle image using the Panorama Tools plug-in for Photoshop. Finally, excess image information outside the quadrat boundary was cropped.
RESULTS

Structural Integrity

The stability and surface of the coral colony repair and restoration module were found to be visually and tactilely sound (Table 2). The colony cement grout cap and module were found in place with a stable attachment to the substrate and no visible cracks in the cement surface. There was no noticeable physical damage to the reattached coral fragments. The location of the fragments and reef crown were mapped in relation to the repaired coral colony (Figure 4).

Table 2. Observed structural characteristics of restoration module and reattached coral fragments in July 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Coral Species</th>
<th>Stable Attachment (Yes/No)</th>
<th>Surface Cracks (Yes/No)</th>
<th>Damaged Corals (Yes/No)</th>
<th>Live Coral Tissue (Yes/No)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colony top</td>
<td><em>Montastrea annularis</em></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reef crown</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral 1</td>
<td><em>Diploria labyrinthiformis</em></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral 2</td>
<td><em>M. annularis</em></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral 3</td>
<td><em>M. annularis</em></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral 4</td>
<td><em>Colpophyllia natans</em></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral 5</td>
<td><em>M. annularis</em></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral 6</td>
<td><em>M. annularis</em></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral 7</td>
<td><em>Porites astreoides</em></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Biological Condition

The Wave Walker restoration site was composed of a large (> 2 m diameter) repaired colony of Montastrea annularis (Figure 5) with adjacent reattached coral fragments and an installed reef crown. Reattached coral species included Colpophyllia natans, Diploria labyrinthiformis, Montastrea annularis, and Porites astreoides (Table 2). The site and surrounding habitat were defined as a cluster patch reef as described by Ginsburg and colleagues (2001). Live coral tissue was observed on the seven reattached fragments (see photos in Appendix) and five colonies within the reef crown (Figure 6). The tissues of several corals in the surrounding area and one of the reattached colonies (colony #1) were pale or bleached (Figures 7 & 8), but there was no way to determine if these observations were related to restoration activities. In general, the color of the corals appeared “pale” but it was uncertain if this was a common condition for the corals of this patch reef. An estimate of coral cover of the repaired colony was 68%. Coral cover on two nearby, similarly-sized colonies of M. annularis were 85% and 67%. The area of the plastic that outlined the cement colony top was 2.66 m² (Figure 9).
Figure 5. Views of the repaired coral colony looking to the north (A), west (B), south (C), and east (D).
Figure 6. Photo mosaic of reattached corals in the reef crown installed adjacent to the repaired coral colony. For the photos, the reef crown was overlain with a 1 m² quadrat. The smaller squares are 1/16 m².
Figure 7. A large (> 1 m diameter) colony of Colpophyllia natans that exhibited signs of coral bleaching at “The Rocks” patch reef on July 23, 2004. This colony and several others adjacent to the restoration site were observed in various states of bleaching.

Figure 8. Planar view of a section of the repaired coral colony damaged by the Wave Walker.
Figure 9. Outline (in red) of the plastic sheet cut to delineate the interface of the concrete surface and live coral tissue along the rim of the coral colony.

**SUMMARY**

Baseline monitoring suggests that the structural repair of the damaged coral colony was successful. The structural stability of the repaired colony, a restoration module, and several reattached corals was excellent with no sign of displacement or physical damage (Table 2). Among 65 cluster reefs throughout the Florida Keys, Ginsburg and colleagues (2001) found that nearly 60% of coral colonies had between 30-60% coral cover. Coral cover of the repaired colony exceeded this reported range for cluster reef habitat and was similar to two adjacent reference corals colonies. In addition, several pale or bleached colonies were observed within the patch reef habitat surrounding the repaired colony but these conditions were probably not the result of the restoration efforts. The next scheduled monitoring event is during the summer of 2007.
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