CORDELL BANK, GULF OF THE FARALLONES, AND MONTEREY BAY NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARIES







FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PREPARED AS PART OF THE JOINT MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW

VOLUME IV OF IV

SEPTEMBER 2008

US DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
NATIONAL OCEAN SERVICE
NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY PROGRAM









UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration PROGRAM PLANNING AND INTEGRATION Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

SEP 15 2008

Dear Reviewer:

In accordance with provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) has enclosed for your review the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary (CBNMS), Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary (GFNMS), and Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary (MBNMS). All three sanctuaries are located off the coast of California and were designated in 1989, 1982, and 1992 respectively. The three sanctuaries protect the rich offshore northern and central California marine ecosystems and cultural resources within a 7,100 square mile area. The area is particularly noted for its coastal estuaries, offshore islands, seamounts, kelp forests, diverse marine mammals, and bird species.

This FEIS is prepared pursuant to NEPA to assess the environmental impacts associated with NOAA revising the management plans and associated regulations for the CBNMS, GFNMS, and MBNMS. The revisions are being done pursuant to section 304(e) of the National Marine Sanctuaries Act, which requires periodic review of substantive progress toward implementation of the management plan and goals for the sanctuary.

Public hearings were held, and public comments were addressed in the document you are receiving. NOAA is not required to respond to comments received as a result of issuance of the FEIS. However, comments will be reviewed and considered for their impact on issuance of a record of decision (ROD). Please send comments to the responsible official identified below. The ROD will be made available publicly following final agency action on or after October 27, 2008.

Responsible Official:

William J. Douros

Office of National Marine Sanctuaries

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary

299 Foam Street

Monterey, CA 93940

831-647-1920

Sincerely

Paul N. Doremus

NOAA NEPA Coordinator

Enclosure





Final Environmental Impact Statement Joint Management Plan Review

Lead Agency:

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Sanctuaries Program 1305 East-West Highway, N/ORM-6 Silver Spring, MD 20910

Proposed Action:

Regulatory changes for Cordell Bank, Gulf of the Farallones and Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuaries resulting from the Joint Management Plan Review

Abstract:

This project proposes a series of regulatory changes intended to resolve inconsistencies in regulatory language and enhance resource protection within the three central and northern California National Marine Sanctuaries (NMS) -- Cordell Bank NMS, Gulf of the Farallones NMS, and Monterey Bay NMS. Most of the regulatory changes result in beneficial impacts on resources. No significant impacts were identified. Less than significant impacts were identified on Commercial Fisheries, Marine Transportation, and Socioeconomics. Beneficial impacts were identified on Air Quality, Biological Resources, Ocean/Geological Resources, Water Quality, Commercial Fisheries, Cultural Resources, Hazardous Materials, Land Use and Development, Public Access and Recreation, Research and Education, Socioeconomics, and Visual Resources. Cumulatively adverse impacts were identified on Commercial Fisheries and Marine Transportation; cumulative beneficial impacts were identified in Air Quality, Biological Resources, Ocean/Geology, Water Quality, Commercial Fisheries, Cultural Resources, Hazardous Materials, Public Access and Recreation, Socioeconomics, and Visual Resources.

NOAA held public meetings on the Draft EIS and Management Plans on the following dates:

- 1) November 29, 2006, 6:30 p.m. at the Cambria Pines Lodge, 2905 Burton Drive, Cambria, CA 93428.
- November 29, 2006, 6:30 p.m. at the Bodega Marine Laboratory, 2099 Westside Road, Bodega Bay, CA 94923.
- November 30, 2006, 6:30 p.m. at the Monterey Conference Center, One Portola Plaza, Monterey, CA 93940.
- 4) November 30, 2006, 6:30 p.m. at the Dance Palace Community Center, 503 B Street, Point Reyes Station, CA 94956.
- 5) December 5, 2006, 6:30 p.m. at the University of California Santa Cruz Inn and Conference Center, 611 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060.
- 6) December 5, 2006, 6:30 p.m. at the Fort Mason Center, Firehouse (NE corner of Center), San Francisco, CA 94123

7) December 6, 2006, 6:30 p.m. at the Community United Methodist Church, 777 Miramontes Street, Half Moon Bay, CA 94019.

Further information on the JMPR can be found at the project website:

http://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/jointplan/

If you would like further information regarding this statement, please contact:

Sean Morton

IMPR Coordinator

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Sanctuaries Program

1305 East-West Highway, N/NMS

Silver Spring, MD 20910

Phone: 301-713-3125 x264

E-mail: Sean.Morton@noaa.gov

Comments on the Draft EIS were received during a 90-day public comment period ending **January 6**, **2007**.

TAF	RIF	OF	Con	ITEN	2TL
IA		OF	U UI		VII O

	_
Section	Page
Section	Pane
OCCIOII	i auc

Exec	CUTIVE S	UMMARY	ES-1
1.	PURP	OSE AND N EED	1-1
	1.1	Introduction	
	1.2	Background	
		1.2.1 National Marine Sanctuaries Act and National Marine Sanctuary	
		Program	
		1.2.2 Joint Management Plan Review Process	
	1.3	Project Location	
	1.4	Purpose and Need of Proposed Action	
	1.5	Scope of EIS	
	1.6 1.7	Revisions to DEIS Decisions to be Made	
	1.7	Agency Coordination	
	1.9	Public Involvement	
	1.10	Related Studies	
	1.11	Organization of FEIS	
2.		OSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES DESCRIPTION	
	2.1	Development of Proposed and alternative Regulatory Actions	
	2.1	Proposed and Alternative Regulatory Changes	2- <u>2</u> 2 - 2
	۷.۷	2.2.1 Proposed Cross-Cutting Regulations in the Sanctuaries	2-3
		2.2.2 Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary Regulations	
		2.2.3 Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary Regulations	
		2.2.4 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary Regulations	
	2.3	No Action Alternative	
	2.4	Alternatives Identified But Removed From Consideration	2-29
	2.5	Proposed Changes to Sanctuary Designation Documents	
		2.5.1 Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary	
		2.5.2 Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary	
		2.5.3 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary	
	2.6	Technical Regulatory Changes	2-39
3.	AFFE	CTED ENVIRONMENT AND IMPACT ANALYSIS	3-1
	3.1	Introduction to Affected Environment and Impact Analysis	
		3.1.1 Chapter Overview	
		3.1.2 Scope of Impact Analysis	
		3.1.3 Scoping Issues	
		3.1.4 Cumulative Effects Scenario	
	3.2	Air Quality and Climate	
		3.2.1 Regulatory Overview	
		3.2.2 Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
		3.2.3 Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
		3.2.4 Cross-Cutting Regulations –Environmental Consequences3.2.5 Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental	3-17
		Consequences	2₋1Ω
		Ourocyacrices	5- 10

ed)

Section	Page
00011011	i ago

	3.2.6	Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	0.40
	3.2.7	Consequences Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary–Environmental	3-19
	3.2.1	Consequences	2 20
	3.2.8	Clean Air Act de Minimis Level Impact Evaluation	3-20
	3.2.9	Cumulative Impacts	3-22
3.3		ical Resources	
5.5	3.3.1	Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.3.2	Habitat Types	
	3.3.3	Wildlife Resources	
	3.3.4	Regulatory Environment	
	3.3.5	Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
	3.3.6 3.3.7	Cross-Cutting Regulations—Environmental Consequences	. 3-49
	3.3.7	Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary—Environmental	2 5 4
	0 0 0	Consequences	3-54
	3.3.8	Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary—Environmental	0.57
	0.00	Consequences	3-57
	3.3.9	Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary—Environmental	0.00
	0 0 40	Consequences	
0.4		Cumulative Impacts	
3.4		nography and Geology	
	3.4.1	Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.4.2	Regulatory Environment	
	3.4.3	Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
	3.4.4	Cross-Cutting Regulations – Environmental Consequences	.3-72
	3.4.5	Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	
		Consequences	3-72
	3.4.6	Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	
		Consequences	3-74
	3.4.7	Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	
		Consequences	
	3.4.8	Cumulative Impacts	
3.5		Quality	
	3.5.1	Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.5.2	Regulatory Environment	
	3.5.3	Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
	3.5.4	Cross-Cutting Regulations – Environmental Consequences	3-89
	3.5.5	Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	
		Consequences	3-92
	3.5.6	Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	
		Consequences	3-92
	3.5.7	Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	
		Consequences	3-94
	3.5.8	Cumulative Impacts	
3.6	Comm	nercial Fisheries	
	3.6.1	Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.6.2	Regulatory Environment	

ABLE O	F CON	ITENTS (continued)	
ection			Page
	3.6.3	Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	3 ₋11 ∕
	3.6.4		
	3.6.5	Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	
		Consequences	
	3.6.6	Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary – Environmenta	
		Consequences	3-120
	3.6.7	Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary–Environmental	0.406
		Consequences	
o =	3.6.8	Cumulative Impacts	
3.7		al and Maritime Heritage Resources	
	3.7.1	Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.7.2	Regulatory Environment	
	3.7.3	3	
	3.7.4		3-130
	3.7.5	Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental	
		Consequences	
	3.7.6	Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental	
		Consequences	3-131
	3.7.7	Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary–Environmental	
		Consequences	
	3.7.8	Cumulative Impacts	
3.8		dous Wastes and Waste Disposal	
	3.8.1	Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.8.2	Regulatory Environment	
	3.8.3	Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
	3.8.4	Cross-Cutting Regulations – Environmental Consequences	3-138
	3.8.5	Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	0.406
		Consequences	
	3.8.6	Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary – Environmenta	
	0.07	Consequences	3-140
	3.8.7	Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	0.444
	0.00	Consequences	3-141
2.0	3.8.8	Cumulative Impacts	3-143
3.9		Use And Development	
	3.9.1	Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.9.2	Regulatory Environment	
	3.9.3	Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
	3.9.4	Cross-Cutting Regulations – Environmental Consequences	3-148
	3.9.5	Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental	0.454
	200	Consequences	
	3.9.6	Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental	
	207	Consequences	3-152
	3.9.7	Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary–Environmental	2 450
	200	Consequences	
0.40	3.9.8	Cumulative Impacts	
3.10		e Transportation	
	.5 10 1	r Neululai Uverview di Aliecteo CIVIIOIIIIENI	.5-155

TABLE OF	CONTENTS (continued)	
Section		Page
	3.10.2 Regulatory Overview	
	3.10.3 Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	3-159
	3.10.4 Cross-Cutting Regulations – Environmental Consequences	3-159
	3.10.5 Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	
	Consequences	
	3.10.6 Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary – Environmenta	
	Consequences	3-164
	3.10.7 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	0.405
	Consequences	
0.44	3.10.8 Cumulative Impacts	
3.11	Public Access and Recreation	
	3.11.1 Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.11.2 Regulatory Environment	
	3.11.3 Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
	3.11.4 Cross-Cutting Regulations – Environmental Consequences	3-174
	3.11.5 Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental	0.475
	Consequences	
	3.11.6 Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental	
	Consequences	3-177
	3.11.7 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary–Environmental	2 100
	Consequences	
3.12	Research and Education	
3.12	3.12.1 Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.12.2 Regulatory Environment	
	3.12.3 Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
	3.12.4 Cross-Cutting Regulations – Environmental Consequences	
	3.12.5 Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	5- 100
	Consequences	3_188
	3.12.6 Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary – Environmenta	
	Consequences	
	3.12.7 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	100
	Consequences	3-190
	3.12.8 Cumulative Impacts	 3-191
3.13	Socioeconomic, Demographic, and Environmental Justice Resources	
0.10	3.13.1 Regional Overview of Affected Environment	
	3.13.2 Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
	3.13.3 Cross-Cutting Regulations – Environmental Consequences	
	3.13.4 Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary – Environmental	
	Consequences	3-201
	3.13.5 Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental	
	Consequences	
	3.13.6 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary–Environmental	
	Consequences	3-204
	3.13.7 Cumulative Impacts	
3.14	Visual Resources	
	3.17.1 Regional Overview of Affected Environment	

TAE	BLE O	F CONTENTS (continued)	
Sect			Page
		3.14.2 Regulatory Environment	
		3.14.3 Significance Criteria and Impact Methodology	
		3.14.4 Cross-Cutting Regulations–Environmental Consequences	3-211
		3.14.5 Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental Consequences	3-211
		3.14.6 Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary –Environmental Consequences	
		3.14.7 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary–Environmental Consequences	
		3.14.8 Cumulative Impacts	
4.	ALTE	RNATIVES SUMMARY	4-1
	4.1	Introduction	4-1
	4.2	Impact Summary	
		4.2.1 The Proposed Action	
		4.2.2 Alternative Regulatory Actions	
		4.2.3 The No Action Alternative	
5.	Отні	ER REQUIRED NEPA ANALYSES	5-1
	5.1	Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts	5-1
	5.2	Relationship Between Short-term Uses and Maintenance and	
		Enhancement of Long-term Productivity	5-1
	5.3	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	
	5.4	Environmental Health and Safety Risks to Children	5-2
	5.5	Impacts Found To Be Not Significant	
6.	FIND	INGS AND DETERMINATIONS	6-1
	6.1	Introduction	6-1
	6.2	Consultations and Results under the NMSA	6-1
	6.3	NMSA and NEPA Findings and Determinations	6-4
		6.3.1 Determinations Required Under Section 303 of the NMSA	6-4
		6.3.2 Section 303(b)(1) Discussion	6-5
		6.3.3 Resource Assessment	6-7
	6.4	Relation to Existing Laws and Executive Orders	6-7
7.	RESE	PONSE TO COMMENTS	7-1
8.	REPO	ORT PREPARERS	8-1
9.	Refe	RENCES	9-1
10.	GLO	SSARY	10-1

APPENDICES

Appendix

- A. Public Involvement
 - A-1. Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement
 - A-2. Notice of Intent to Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
 - A-3. Joint Management Plan Review Summary Scoping Document
- B. Summary of Proposed Action Plans
- C. Biology Tables

LIST OF FIGURES		
Figure	e	Page
1-1	Joint Management Plan Review Study Area	
2-1	Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary	
2-2	Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary and the Farallon Islands	
2-3	Seagrass Bed Protection Tomales Bay Proposed No-Anchor Zones	
2-4	Davidson Seamount—Proposed and Alternative Boundaries	
2-5	Motorized Personal Watercraft Zones	
2-6	Current and Proposed Dredge Disposal Sites - MBNMS	
3-1	Areas of Special Biological Significance	3-25
3-2	Number of Commercial Fishing Vessels Landing Catches Adjacent to the	0.404
	Three-Sanctuary Area Compared to All of California	
3-3	Groundfish Gear Evolution, 1981-2003	
3-4	Trawl Intensity in CB/GFNMS	
3-5	Trawl Intensity in MBNMS	
3-6	Total Annual Number of Species Landed In Ports Adjacent to Three-Sanctuary Area	
3-7	GF & CB Sanctuary Area Landings of Select Fisheries, 1981-2003	3-107
3-8	Total Landings and Ex-vessel Revenue Reported to the Ports Adjacent to the	0.407
	Three-Sanctuary Area, 1990-2003	
3-9	Total Pounds of Fish Landed in Each of the Major Port Groups, 1981–2003	
3-10 3-11	Ex-vessel Revenue from Fish Landed in Each of the Major Port Groups, 1981–2003 Shipping Lanes	
LIST Table	OF TABLES	
Iabla		_
Table		Page
	Proposed and Alternative Regulatory Changes	
2-1	Proposed and Alternative Regulatory Changes	2-43
2-1 3-1	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4
2-1 3-1 3-2	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document Projects Expected to Contribute to Cumulative Impacts	2-43 3-4 3-7
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-11
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-11
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-11
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-11 3-98
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-11 3-98
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-11 3-98 3-100
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-11 3-98 3-100
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-11 3-98 3-100
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-11 3-98 3-100 3-108 3-119
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-11 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-11 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108 3-167
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9 3-10	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-11 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108 3-167 3-168 3-168 3-192
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9 3-10 3-11	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-11 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108 3-167 3-168 3-168 3-192
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9 3-10 3-11 3-12	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108 3-167 3-168 3-192 3-194
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9 3-10 3-11 3-12 3-13 3-14	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108 3-167 3-168 3-168 3-192 3-194
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9 3-10 3-11 3-12 3-13 3-14 3-15	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document Projects Expected to Contribute to Cumulative Impacts Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards Air Quality Attainment Status for Air Basins within the Sanctuaries Listing of Individual Ports by Port Group Number of Commercial Fishing Vessels Reporting Catches per Major Port Group Adjacent to the Three-Sanctuary Area Top Ten Ex-Vessel Revenue Producing Species\Species Groups Reported to the Ports Adjacent to the Three-Sanctuary Area, Pounds and Ex-vessel Value, 1990 and 2000 Percent Economic Value of the 50-Fathom Isobath Compared to the Total Value of CBNMS and the Area from Bodega Bay to Pillar Point California Marine Recreation Estimated Number of Days Fished and Participants in Northern California by Mode and Resident Status (2000) County Population Estimates 1990-2000 County Employment by Industry Sectors (2000) Total Recreation Travel Spending by County (1992-2000) (\$ Millions) Total Northern California Recreation/Fishing-related Expenditures by Mode and Resident Status (\$000s) Total Percentage of Population by Race/Ethnicity (2000)	2-43 3-4 3-7 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108 3-167 3-168 3-192 3-194 3-195
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9 3-10 3-11 3-12 3-13 3-14 3-15 3-16	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-11 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108 3-167 3-168 3-194 3-194 3-195 3-195
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9 3-10 3-11 3-12 3-13 3-14 3-15 3-16 4-1	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-11 3-14 3-100 3-108 3-119 3-167 3-168 3-194 3-195 3-195 3-196
2-1 3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4 3-5 3-6 3-7 3-8 3-9 3-10 3-11 3-12 3-13 3-14 3-15 3-16	Location of Major Scoping Issue Discussions in Document	2-43 3-4 3-11 3-14 3-98 3-100 3-108 3-167 3-168 3-169 3-195 3-194 3-195 3-195

LIST OF ACRONYMS

Acronym Full Phrase

AB Assembly Bill

APPS Act to Prevent Pollution from Ships

AS Alaska Statute

ASBS Area of Special Biological Significance
BAAQMD Bay Area Air Quality Management District

BART Bay Area Rapid Transit
BEA Bureau of Economic Analysis

BP Before Present

CARB California Air Resources Board

CBFNA California Boating Facilities Needs Assessment CBNMS Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary

CCA California Coastal Act
CCAA California Clean Air Act

CDFG California Department of Fish and Game CEQ Council on Environmental Quality CEQA California Environmental Quality Act

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of

1980

CERCLIS Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability

Information System

CESA California Endangered Species Act
CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CINMS Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary

CNPS California Native Plant Society

CO Carbon Monoxide

CRWQCB California Regional Water Quality Control Board

CWA Clean Water Act

CZMA Coastal Zone Management Act

DEIS Draft Environmental Impact Statement

DMP Draft Management Plan

DTSC Department of Toxic Substances Control

EEZ Exclusive Economic Zone
EFH Essential Fish Habitat
ENSO El Niño Southern Oscillation

EO Executive Order

ESA Endangered Species Act
ESU Evolutionarily Significant Unit
FAA Federal Aviation Administration

FCAA Federal Clean Air Act

FEIS Final Environmental Impact Statement

FMP Fishery Management Plan(s)

FWPCA Federal Water Pollution Control Act GFMP Groundfish Fishery Management Plan

GFNMS Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary

GGNRA Golden Gate National Recreational Area

GIS Geographic Information System
HAPC Habitat Area Of Particular Concern

HC Hydrocarbons

HMS Highly Migratory Species

LIST OF ACRONYMS

Acronym Full Phrase

IMO United Nations International Maritime Organization

JMPR Joint Management Plan Review

LCP Local Coastal Program LNG Liquefied Natural Gas

MARPOL International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships

MBARI Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute
MBNMS Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary

MBTA Migratory Bird Treaty Act

MBUAPCD Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District

MERITO Multicultural Education of Resource Issues Threatening Oceans

MLMA Marine Life Management Act
MLML Moss Landing Marine Lab
MMPA Marine Mammal Protection Act

MPA Marine Protected Area

MPRSA Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act also known as the Ocean

Dumping Act

MPWC Motorized Personal Watercraft

MSA Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act

MSD Marine Sanitation Device MTBE Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether

NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NAD 83 North American Datum of 1983 NAO NOAA Administrative Order NCCAB North Central Coast Air Basin NCP National Contingency Plan

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act
NHPA National Historic Preservation Act
NMA Northern Management Area
NMFS National Marine Fisheries Service
NMSA National Marine Sanctuaries Act
NMSP National Marine Sanctuaries Program
NCCOS National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science

NOI Notice Of Intent NOx Nitrogen Oxides NO₂ Nitrogen Dioxide

NOAA National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration

NOS National Ocean Service

NPCA National Park and Conservation Association NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NPL National Priorities List NPS National Park Service

NRHP National Register of Historic Places

OCS Outer Continental Shelf

OCSLA Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act
OMB Office of Management and Budget
OTEC Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion

OWS Oil-Water Separator

PAHs Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

PCBs Polychlorinated Biphenyls

LIST OF ACRONYMS

Acronym Full Phrase

PFMC Pacific Fishery Management Council

PISCO Partnership for Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans

P.L. Public Law

PM₁₀ 10-micron particulate matter PM_{2.5} 2.5-micron particulate matter

PPM Parts per Million

PRNS Point Reves National Seashore

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

RFA Regulatory Flexibility Act

RHA Federal Rivers and Harbors Appropriations Act of 1899

ROD Record of Decision
ROI Region of Influence
ROG Reactive Organic Gases
ROV Remotely Operated Vehicle

RWQCB Regional Water Quality Control Boards

SAC Sanctuary Advisory Council

SARA Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

SCCAB South Central Coast Air Basin

SF-DODS San Francisco Deep Ocean Disposal Site

SFA Sustainable Fisheries Act
SFAB San Francisco Air Basin

SIMoN Sanctuary Integrated Monitoring Network

SLA Submerged Lands Act

SLOAPCD San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District

SMPA Special Marine Protected Area

SO₂ Sulfur dioxide

SWQRCB State Water Quality Resources Control Board

SWRCB State Water Resources Control Board

TAC Total Allowable Catch

USACE United States Army Corps of Engineers

U.S.C. United States Code

USCG United States Coast Guard

USDOI United States Department of Interior

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

USFWS United States Fish and Wildlife Service

VOCs Volatile Organic Compounds
VTS Coast Guard Vessel Traffic Service
VTSS Vessel Traffic Service/Separation